Math 306 Section T "Practice" Midterm Exam 1

February 17, 2015

NAME: Solution Key

This is a practice exam. For the real exam:

- Nothing on your desk except writing instruments and UB ID card.
- No electronics! I will keep track of time on the board.
- Like practice exam, the real exam has six questions, half of which involve qualitative analysis, curve sketching, and/or applications (note, you are not expected to memorize any specific mathematical models, just to be able to do the math in the context of a given model).
- Questions on the real exam are **not** guaranteed to be easier or harder than the practice exam; it's just for the sake of review and practice.
- Like the real exam, give yourself 80 minutes.

1. Find all solutions to the differential equation:

 $2y^{2}y' + 2xy^{3} =$   $2y^{2}(D) + 2x(3) = 6x$  / Soln:  $y^{3} = 3 + Ae^{-3y^{2}}$  (no restriction on A)

Finally, we return to our assumption that  $y \neq 0$ . In the case y = 0,  $0 \cdot y' + 2x \cdot D = 6x \implies x = 0$ No more solutions arise from that case. 2. Find all solutions to the differential equation:

$$x(x+y)y' = y(x-y)$$

This D.E. is homogeneous, no V= 
$$\frac{4}{x}$$
 substition is possible.  
First rewrite at  $y' = F(\frac{4}{x})$ :  
 $y' = \frac{y(x-y)}{x(x+y)} = (\frac{4}{x})(\frac{x-y}{x+y}) \cdot \frac{1}{x} = (\frac{4}{x})(\frac{1-\frac{4}{x}}{1+\frac{4}{y}x})$   
 $V = \frac{4}{x} = \frac{1}{x} = \frac{4}{x} = \frac{1}{x}$   
 $V = \frac{4}{x} = \frac{1}{x} = \frac{$ 

- 3. Consider the differential equation  $\frac{dx}{dt} = (x+2)(x-2)x$ 
  - (a) Find all equilibrium solutions and determine whether they are stable, unstable, or semistable.
  - (b) Sketch a slope field for this differential equation.
  - (c) Find the solution corresponding to the initial condition x(0) = 1.
  - (d) Sketch the solution curve corresponding to your answer for (b).

$$x' = (x + 2)(x - 2) = 0 \quad (1 \quad x = -2, 2, n = 0)$$

$$= x^{3} - 4x$$

$$x^{3} - 4x$$

$$x = 0 \quad \text{stable}$$

$$x = -2 \quad \text{mstable}$$

Note on (b) and (c): it may seem strange that velocity is never zero yet the moving body only travels a finite distance. This is a good example of how mathematical models, which describe a theoretical ideal, differ from reality! In the model, the moving body heads ever more slowly towards position  $x_0$ , but never stops, and never quite reaches  $x_0$ ---that's the difference between the limit of a function at infinity, and the value of the function at a given point. In typical reality, the moving body would stop (for example, due to forces of friction not accounted for in the model).

- 4. Suppose a body moves through a resisting medium with resistance proportional to velocity, so that  $\frac{dv}{dt} = -kv$ . Let x(t) be the position of the body, so that  $v(t) = \frac{dx}{dt}$ .
  - (a) Solve for the function x(t) in terms of the initial conditions  $x_0 = x(0)$  and  $v_0 = v(0)$ .
  - (b) Show that the moving body only travels a finite distance, by computing  $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t)$ .
  - (c) According to the model given by the differential equation  $\frac{dv}{dt} = -kv$ , if  $v_0 > 0$ , is velocity ever zero?

5. Consider the initial value problem

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = 2\sqrt{y} \qquad y(0) = y_0$$

- (a) Find all solutions to the differential equation.
- (b) For which  $y_0$  does a unique solution exist?
- (c) Show that, if  $y_0 = 0$ , two solutions exist. Explain why this does not contradict the theorem on existence and uniqueness of solutions to first-order ordinary differential equations.

First let's recall the conditions of the thm (vasion for y' = f(x,y) form D.E.) Griven I.V.P.  $y' = f(x,y) w/I.C. (x_0, y_0)$ , if on some rectangle containing  $(x_0, y_0)$  in the intention, both  $f(x,y) \notin \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(x,y)$ are continuous, then the IVP has a unique soln defined on on interval containing x<sub>0</sub>. (vasion for y' = f(x,y) form D.E.) THE TWO REASONS conly one needed for answer) () f(x,y) = 2Jy not defined on rectangle w/(0,0) in interval containing x<sub>0</sub>. (2)  $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(x,y) = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} y^{-1/2} = \frac{1}{Jy}$ 

Not defined at y=0

6. Use the substitution  $p = \frac{dy}{dx}$  to solve the differential equation below. You may leave your answer in terms of an integral if you use the fundamental theorem of calculus correctly.

$$2\sqrt{1-x^2}y'' - (y')^3 e^{-2x} = \sqrt{4-4x^2}y' = 2\sqrt{1-x^2}y'$$

$$p = y' \quad p' = y'' \quad \Rightarrow \quad 2\sqrt{1-x^2}p' - p^3 e^{-2x} = 2\sqrt{1-x^2}p \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$p' - p^3 e^{-2x}/(z\sqrt{1-x^2}) = p \quad (Restrict + b - 1 \le x \le 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad p' - p = \frac{e^{-2x}}{2\sqrt{1-x^2}}p^3 \quad \Leftrightarrow \text{Bernoulli}$$

$$v = p^{1-3} = p^{-2} \quad p = v^{-1/2}$$

$$p' = -\frac{1}{2}v^{\frac{3}{2}}v'$$

$$-2v^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(-\frac{1}{2}v^{\frac{3}{2}}v' - v^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right) = \frac{e^{-2x}}{2\sqrt{1-x^2}} \quad (v^{-\frac{1}{2}}v)^3 = \frac{e^{-2x}}{2\sqrt{1-x^2}}, \quad (-2v^{\frac{3}{2}})$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad v' + 2v = -\frac{e^{-2x}}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \quad \leftarrow \text{LINERR}$$

$$P(x) = 2 \quad \int P(x)dx = 2x + C$$

$$usc \quad p = e^{\frac{5}{2}P(x)dx} = e^{2x}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad e^{2x}v' + 2e^{2x}v = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \quad \Rightarrow e^{2x}v + C = \int \frac{-1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}dx = -\sin^{-1}(x)$$

$$\overset{Hy}{=} x = \sin^{-1}(x)$$

$$v = e^{-2x} (C - \sin^{-1}(x))$$

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{e^{x}}{\sqrt{C-\sin^{3}}}$$

$$(-2v^{\frac{3}{2}}v) = \frac{e^{x}}{\sqrt{C-\sin^{3}}}$$

$$(-2v^{\frac{3}{2}}v) = \frac{e^{x}}{\sqrt{C-\sin^{3}}}$$

$$\Rightarrow y(x) = \int \frac{e^x}{\sqrt{C-\sin^2(x)}} dx = \int_0^x \frac{e^t}{\sqrt{C_1-\sin^2(t)}} dt + C_2 \qquad \text{Impriview}$$
This last integral cannot be expressed in an elementary way, at least, not by me, nor by Maple!  
This last integral cannot be expressed in an elementary way, at least, not by me, nor by Maple!  
This last integral cannot be expressed in an elementary way, at least, not by me, nor by Maple!  
This last integral cannot be expressed in an elementary way, at least, not by me, nor by Maple!  
This last integral cannot be expressed in an elementary way at least, not by me, nor by Maple!  
This last integral cannot be expressed in an elementary way at least, not by me, nor by Maple!  
This last integral cannot be expressed in an elementary of (unevaluated) integral in terms of (unevaluated) integral in terms of (unevaluated) integral (not true in general! special for this rather long problem.)

Important that final answer is two-parameter family (has C, & C2)

Thi exp way nor by Maple!