Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications Vol. 15, No. 3 (2006) 279–287 © World Scientific Publishing Company



# THE A-POLYNOMIAL *n*-TUPLE OF A LINK AND HYPERBOLIC 3-MANIFOLDS WITH NON-INTEGRAL TRACES

XINGRU ZHANG

Department of Mathematics, SUNY at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY 14260-2900, USA xinzhang@math.buffalo.edu

Accepted 10 February 2005

#### ABSTRACT

We define A-polynomial *n*-tuple for a link of *n*-components and apply them to construct hyperbolic link manifolds with non-integral traces.

Keywords: Links; character variety; A-polynomial; non-integral traces.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 57M25

By a link manifold we mean a connected, orientable, compact 3-manifold whose boundary consists of tori. If a link manifold has only one boundary component, it is also called a knot manifold. A link manifold is said to be hyperbolic if its interior has a complete hyperbolic structure of finite volume. A link manifold is said to be large if it contains a closed, embedded, orientable, incompressible, and non-boundary-parallel surface.

Let M be a compact 3-manifold. If for some representation  $\rho$  of  $\pi_1(M)$  into  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\{tr(\rho(\gamma)); \gamma \in \pi_1(M)\}$  is not contained in the set of algebraic integers, then by Bass [1], M contains a properly embedded, orientable, incompressible and non-boundary-parallel surface (we shall call such a surface a properly embedded essential surface). If in particular M is a hyperbolic link manifold or a closed hyperbolic manifold and if a discrete faithful representation  $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  has non-integral traces, we say that M has non-integral traces. In such a case M has a closed embedded essential surface.

In [9] a method for constructing examples of closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds with non-integral traces was introduced. The construction makes use of the *A*-polynomial. More concretely, one starts with a hyperbolic knot manifold M. If r is a boundary slope of M associated to a boundary slope of the Newton polygon of the *A*-polynomial of M and if M(r) (the Dehn filling of M with slope r) is a hyperbolic 3-manifold, then very likely M(r) has non-integral traces.

In this paper we show that a similar method also works for constructing hyperbolic link manifolds with non-integral traces. Namely we start with a hyperbolic link manifold with at least  $n \geq 2$  boundary tori  $T_1, \ldots, T_n$ . By [5] each fixed  $T_j$ contains at least two distinct boundary slopes which bound embedded essential surfaces in M disjoint from all other boundary components of M. If  $r_j \subset T_j$  is such a boundary slope and if  $M(T_j, r_j)$  (the Dehn filling of M along the torus  $T_j$  with the slope  $r_j$ ) is a hyperbolic link manifold (or a hyperbolic knot manifold if n = 2), then very likely  $M(T_j, r_j)$  has non-integral traces. To find such boundary slopes we make use of the A-polynomial n-tuple for a link manifold with n boundary tori.

We now define the A-polynomial n-tuple for an n-component link, which is a natural generalization of the A-polynomial for a knot introduced in [3]. As in [4], for any compact manifold M,  $R(M) = \{\rho; \rho : \pi_1(M) \to SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \text{ a homomorphism}\}$ denotes the set of  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  representations of  $\pi_1(M)$ ,  $X(M) = \{\chi_\rho; \rho \in R(M)\}$ denotes the corresponding set of characters. Let  $L = K_1 \cup K_2 \cup \cdots \cup K_n$  be a link of n components in a closed 3-manifold W. Let M be the link manifold which is the exterior of L in W. Let  $T_1, \ldots, T_n$  be the boundary tori of M corresponding to  $K_1, \ldots, K_n$  respectively. Let  $\mu_j$  be an oriented essential simple closed curve in  $T_j$ which is a meridian of the knot  $K_j$ . Fix another essential simple closed curve  $\lambda_j$  in  $T_j$  such that the geometric intersection number of  $\mu_j$  and  $\lambda_j$  is 1. Orient  $\lambda_j$  using the right hand rule (so that the cross product of the orientations of  $\mu_j$  and  $\lambda_j$  has the direction pointing inward the manifold M). Then  $\mathcal{B}_j = \{\mu_j, \lambda_j\}$  is a basis of  $H_1(T_j; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \pi_1(T_j)$ .

Let  $i_j^* : X(M) \to X(T_j)$  be the regular map induced by the inclusion induced homomorphism  $i_j^{\#} : \pi_1(T_j) \to \pi_1(M)$ . Note that in the knot manifold case, i.e. when j = n = 1,  $i_1^*(X(M))$  is always at most one dimensional in  $X(T_1) = X(\partial M)$ . But when  $n \ge 2$ , it is possible for  $i_j^*(X(M))$  to be two dimensional. In order to associated a two-variable polynomial to  $i_j^*(X(M))$ , we need to algebraically cut out a 1-dimensional subset from  $i_j^*(X(M))$ . This cutting should be canonical and retain as much interesting information as possible about the manifold M. Motivated by [5], we propose the following cutting procedure. For each element  $\gamma \in \pi_1(M)$ , let  $f_{\gamma}$  be the regular function on the character variety X(M) defined by  $f_{\gamma}(\chi_{\rho}) =$  $(\chi_{\rho}(\gamma))^2 - 4$ . For each fixed j, let  $Y_j(M)$  be the subvariety of X(M) defined by  $f_{\mu_k} = 0$ ,  $f_{\lambda_k} = 0$  for all  $k \neq j$ , excluding those algebraic components consisting of only reducible characters. Let  $V_j$  be the Zariski closure of  $i_j^*(Y_j(M))$  in  $X(T_j)$ .

## **Lemma 1.** The dimension of $V_i$ as a subvariety of $X(T_i)$ is at most one.

**Proof.** Suppose otherwise that  $V_j$  is two-dimensional. Then for any primitive element  $\alpha_j$  of  $\pi_1(T_j)$ ,  $f_{\alpha_j}$  cannot be a constant function on  $V_j$ . Therefore the subvariety  $U_j$  of  $V_j$  defined by  $f_{\alpha_j} = c$  is at least one-dimensional for some choice of constant c. Further if  $\beta_j$  is a primitive element of  $\pi_1(T_j)$  different from  $\alpha_j^{\pm 1}$ , then  $f_{\beta_j}$  is non-constant on  $U_j$ . The subvariety  $(i_j^*)^{-1}(U_j) \cap Y_j(M)$  of  $Y_j(M)$  is at least one dimensional and thus contains an irreducible curve C. By construction,  $f_{\mu_k}$  and  $f_{\lambda_k}$  are both constantly equal to zero on C for each  $k \neq j$ . Also  $f_{\alpha_j}$  is a constant function on C but  $f_{\beta_j}$  is not. These conditions imply, by [4], that there is a properly embedded essential surface F in M associate to some ideal point of the curve C, as defined in [4], such that F is disjoint from  $T_k$  for all  $k \neq j$  and has boundary slope on  $T_j$  represented by  $\alpha_j$ . But  $\alpha_j$  is an arbitrary primitive element of  $\pi_1(T_j)$ . Therefore there are infinitely many boundary slopes on  $T_j$  bounding embedded essential surfaces in M disjoint from all other boundary components  $T_k$ . But this contradicts a result of [8] which asserts the finiteness of such boundary slopes.

For the fixed component  $T_j$  of  $\partial M$  and the given basis  $\mathcal{B}_j = \{\mu_j, \lambda_j\}$  on  $T_j$ , let  $q_j : R(T_j) \to X(T_j)$  be the canonical quotient map, let  $\Theta_j$  be the set of diagonal representations of  $\pi_1(T_j)$ , i.e.

$$\Theta_j = \{ \rho \in R(T_j) \mid \rho(\mu_j), \rho(\lambda_j) \text{ are diagonal matrices} \}.$$

Then  $\Theta_j$  is a subvariety of  $R(T_j)$  and  $q_j|_{\Theta_j} : \Theta_j \to X(T_j)$  is a degree 2 surjective map. We may identify  $\Theta_j$  with  $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$  through the eigenvalue map  $E_j : \Theta_j \to \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ , which sends  $\rho \in \Theta_j$  to  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$  if  $\rho(\mu_j) = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\rho(\lambda_j) = \begin{pmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & v^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ .

Let  $V_j^1$  be the set of one-dimensional components of  $V_j$ , let  $Z_j$  be the algebraic curve  $(q_j|_{\Theta_j})^{-1}(V_j^1)$  in  $\Theta_j$ , and let  $D_j$  be the Zariski closure of  $E_j(Z_j)$  in  $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ . Define  $A_j(u, v)$  to be the defining polynomial of the plane curve  $D_j$  with no repeated factors, normalized so that it is in  $\mathbb{Z}[u, v]$ , which is well defined up to sign (the common factors of the coefficients of  $A_j(u, v)$  are  $\pm 1$ ). When  $V_j^1$  is an empty set, we define  $A_j(u, v)$  to be the constant one and call it trivial.

The reason that the polynomial  $A_j(u, v)$  has integer coefficients is similar to that given in [3, 2.3], noticing that all the equations  $f_{\mu_k} = 0$ ,  $f_{\lambda_k} = 0$  are defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , besides those algebraic sets and regular maps involved in the definition of the polynomial.

In this way we get a polynomial  $A_j(u, v)$  for each j = 1, ..., n. The ordered polynomial *n*-tuple  $[A_1(u, v), ..., A_n(u, v)]$  is called the *A*-polynomial *n*-tuple of the triple  $(W, L, \mathcal{B})$ , where  $\mathcal{B} = [\mathcal{B}_1, ..., \mathcal{B}_n]$  is the *n*-tuple of bases. To express explicitly this association, we may write the polynomial *n*-tuple as  $[A_1(u, v), ..., A_n(u, v)]_{(W, L, \mathcal{B})}$ .

Note that for the basis  $\mathcal{B}_j = \{\mu_j, \lambda_j\}$ , if we change the orientation of  $\mu_j$ , then we need to change the orientation of  $\lambda_j$  as well, by our requirement on a basis (the right hand rule). But  $A_j(u, v)$  is invariant under such change. If W is a homology 3-sphere, we always choose  $\mathcal{B}_j = (\mu_j, \lambda_j)$  to be the standard meridian-longitude basis, considering  $K_j$  as a knot in W. Under this convention, we may drop  $\mathcal{B}$  from the notation of the A-polynomial n-tuple and consider the A-polynomial n-tuple  $[A_1(u, v), \ldots, A_n(u, v)]_{(W,L)}$  as an invariant of the link L in W. If W is the 3-sphere, we simply write  $[A_1(u, v), \ldots, A_n(u, v)]_L$  for  $[A_1(u, v), \ldots, A_n(u, v)]_{(S^3, L)}$ .

**Remark 2.** The C-polynomial for a knot was defined in [11]. It can be similarly generalized to a C-polynomial n-tuple for a link of n components.

Here are some key properties of an A-polynomial *n*-tuple. Recall the Newton polygon of a two-variable polynomial  $\sum a_{ij}u^iv^j$  is the convex hull in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  of the set  $\{(i,j); a_{ij} \neq 0\}$ . The slope of a side of the Newton polygon is called a boundary slope of the polygon.

**Theorem 3.** Let M be a link manifold with n boundary tori  $T_1, \ldots, T_n$ .

- The boundary slopes of the Newton polygon of A<sub>j</sub>(u, v) are boundary slopes on T<sub>j</sub> bounding properly embedded essential surfaces in M disjoint from all other tori T<sub>k</sub>, k ≠ j.
- (2) When M is hyperbolic, the A-polynomial A<sub>j</sub>(u, v) contains an irreducible factor whose Newton polygon has at least two distinct boundary slopes, for each j = 1,...,n. In particular, A<sub>j</sub>(u, v) is nontrivial, for each j = 1,...,n.

**Proof.** The proof that boundary slopes of the Newton polygon of  $A_j(u, v)$  correspond to boundary slopes on  $T_j$  is similar to the proof of [3, Theorem 3.4]. The proof for the assertion that these boundary slopes of  $T_j$  bound properly embedded essential surfaces disjoint from  $T_k$  for all  $k \neq j$  is similar to that of Lemma 1. We omit the details.

Part (2) follows from [5]. In fact, let  $X_0$  be a component of X(M) which contains the character of a discrete faithful representation of  $\pi_1(M)$ . For any fixed j, it was shown in [5] that the subvariety of  $X_0$  defined by the equations  $f_{\mu_k} = 0$ ,  $f_{\lambda_k} = 0$ , for all  $k \neq j$ , has a 1-dimension component  $W_0$  such that  $W_0$  contains the discrete and faithful character and that on  $W_0$ , the function  $f_{\alpha_j}$  is non-constant for any nontrivial element  $\alpha_j$  of  $\pi_1(T_j)$ . Therefore  $i_j^*(W_0)$  is one dimensional and thus the set  $V_j^1$  in the definition of  $A_j(u, v)$  is non-empty. Moreover there are at least two distinct boundary slopes associated to the factor of  $A_j(u, v)$  corresponding to the component  $W_0$ . This follows from [5] as well. Again we omit the details.

**Remark 4.** If the Newton polygon of an irreducible factor a(u, v) of  $A_j(u, v)$  contains at least two distinct boundary slopes, then it can be used to define a norm on  $H_1(T_j; \mathbb{R})$ . If the Newton polygon of an irreducible factor a(u, v) of  $A_j(u, v)$  contains only one boundary slope, then it can be used to define a semi-norm on  $H_1(T_j; \mathbb{R})$  and the corresponding slope on  $T_j$  can be named as a semi-norm slope associated to a(u, v). This can be done as in [2] where knot manifolds were considered.

Now we proceed to construct concrete examples of hyperbolic knot manifolds with non-integral traces, using the method indicated earlier. Let  $L = K_1 \cup K_2$  be the 2-bridge link in  $S^3$  shown in Fig. 1(a). Let M be the link manifold which is the exterior of L in  $S^3$ , and let  $T_1, T_2$  be the two torus boundary components of M corresponding to  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  respectively. Then M is a small link manifold [7]



Fig. 1. The link projection, its Wirtinger generators, the two longitudes.

(here small means that M contains no closed essential surfaces) and hyperbolic (this assertion can be checked using Week's Snappea program, and can also be proved directly). Each torus  $T_j$  is given the standard meridian-longitude basis  $(\mu_j, \lambda_j)$  obtained by considering  $K_j$  as a knot in  $S^3$ . We show

**Theorem 5.** Let M be the exterior of the 2-bridge link shown in Fig. 1(a). Then each of the three slopes on  $T_1$  represented by  $\mu_1^2 \lambda_1$ ,  $\mu_1^{-2} \lambda_1$  and  $\mu_1^7 \lambda_1$  respectively is a boundary slope bounding an essential surface disjoint from  $T_2$ , and filling Malong  $T_1$  with each of these slopes yields a hyperbolic knot manifold with non-integral traces.

**Proof.** We first calculate the A-polynomial 2-tuple of L with respect to the standard bases. From the link projection in Fig. 1(a), we read off a presentation for the fundamental group of M (a Wirtinger presentation):

$$\pi_1(M) = \langle x, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9, y | yx_1y^{-1} = x^{-1}, x_1x_2x_1^{-1} = y, x_2x_3x_2^{-1} = x_1, x_3x_4x_3^{-1} = x_2, xx_3x^{-1} = x_5, x_5xx_5^{-1} = x_6, x_6x_5x_6^{-1} = x_9, x_6x_4x_6^{-1} = x_7, x_7x_6x_7^{-1} = x_8, x_8x_7x_8^{-1} = y \rangle.$$

This presentation can be simplified to one with two generators, the x and y, and one relation which is

$$\begin{split} y^{-1}xy^{-1}xy^{-1}x^{-1}yx^{-1}yxy^{-1}xy^{-1}x^{-1}yx^{-1}yx^{-1}y^{-1}xy^{-1}xyx^{-1}yx^{-1}\\ yxy^{-1}xy^{-1}x^{-1}yx^{-1}yxy^{-1}xyx^{-1}xyx^{-1}yx^{-1}y^{-1}xyx^{-1}yxy^{-1}xyx^{-1}yx^{-1}xy^{-1$$

We can also read off the expression of the longitude  $\lambda_j$  of the component  $K_j$  in terms of x and y. From Fig. 1(b) we get

$$\lambda_{1} = x^{-1}x_{5}^{-1}x_{6}^{-1}x_{7}^{-1}y^{-1}x_{6}x_{5}xx_{2}^{-1}y^{-1}$$
  
=  $y^{-1}xy^{-1}xyx^{-1}yx^{-1}y^{-1}xy^{-1}xy^{-1}x^{-1}yx^{-1}yxy^{-1}xy^{-1}x^{-1}yx^{$ 

and from Fig. 1(c) we get

$$\lambda_{2} = x_{1}x_{3}x_{6}^{-1}x_{8}^{-1}$$
  
=  $y^{-1}x^{-1}yx^{-1}yxy^{-1}xy^{-1}x^{-1}yx^{-1}yx^{-1}y^{-1}xy^{-1}xyx^{-1}yx^{-1}y^{-1}$   
 $xy^{-1}xy^{-1}x^{-1}yx^{-1}yxy^{-1}x^{-1}yx^{-1}yx^{-1}yx^{-1}yx^{-1}xy^{-1}xyx^{-1}yx^{-1}$ .

Now we are going to find a 1-dimensional subvariety  $R_1$  of R(M) such that the image of this subvariety under the canonical map  $q : R(M) \to X(M)$  is the subvariety of X(M) defined by  $f_{\mu_2} = 0$ , with no components consisting of only reducible characters. Note that x is our choice of the meridian  $\mu_1$  for  $K_1$ , and y is the meridian  $\mu_2$  for  $K_2$ . One can easily see that every irreducible representation  $\rho$ of  $\pi_1(M)$  with the trace of  $\rho(y) = \pm 2$  can be conjugated so that its image is of the form  $\rho(x) = \begin{pmatrix} u & 1 \\ 0 & u^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\rho(y) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 \\ t & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . So to find  $R_1$ , let  $X = \begin{pmatrix} u & 1 \\ 0 & u^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$  and  $Y = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 \\ t & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and substitute X and Y into the single relation of  $\pi_1(M)$ . We thus get the subvariety which consists of three 1-dimensional components defined by the following three two-variable polynomials respectively (we use the Maple program for the calculation):

$$\begin{split} P_1(u,t) &= -t^2 u + 2tu^2 - 8t^2 u^3 + 6t^3 u^2 - u^3 - u^5 + 4tu^4 + 13t^3 u^4 - 13t^4 u^3 \\ &- 8t^2 u^5 + 12u^4 t^5 + 6t^5 u^2 - 4t^4 u + t^3 - 4t^6 u^3 - t^2 u^7 + 6t^3 u^6 - 13t^4 u^5 \\ &- 4t^4 u^7 + 6t^5 u^6 - 4t^6 u^5 + t^7 u^4 + t^3 u^8 + 2u^6 t, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_2(u,t) &= 2t^2 u^3 + 3t^3 u^2 + 2u^4 t + t^3 u^4 - 12t^4 u^3 - 5t^2 u^5 + u^5 - 37t^4 u^7 + 65t^5 u^6 \\ &- 73t^6 u^5 + 75t^7 u^4 + 8t^3 u^8 + 65t^5 u^8 - 108t^6 u^7 + 147t^7 u^6 - 115t^8 u^5 \\ &- 5t^2 u^9 - 27t^4 u^9 - 8u^7 t^2 + 8u^6 t^3 - 27u^5 t^4 + 28u^4 t^5 + t^4 u - 22t^6 u^3 \\ &- u^6 t + 21t^7 u^2 - 7t^6 u + t^5 - t^{12} u^7 + 35t^9 u^4 - 35t^8 u^3 - 21t^{10} u^5 + 7t^{11} u^6 \\ &+ u^{13} t^4 + 3u^{12} t^3 + 94t^9 u^6 - 40t^{10} u^7 + 7t^{11} u^8 + t^5 u^{14} - 73t^6 u^9 \\ &+ 147t^7 u^8 + 28t^5 u^{10} - 166t^8 u^7 - u^8 t + t^3 u^{10} - 12t^4 u^{11} + 2u^{11} t^2 \\ &- 22t^6 u^{11} + 75t^7 u^{10} + 21t^7 u^{12} - 35t^8 u^{11} - 7t^6 u^{13} - 115t^8 u^9 + 35t^9 u^{10} \\ &+ 94t^9 u^8 - 21t^{10} u^9 + 2u^{10} t + u^9, \end{aligned}$$

$$P_3(u,t) = t^4 u^2 + t^2 - 2t^3 u + 2t^2 u^2 + u^2 - 2t^3 u^3 + t^2 u^4. \end{aligned}$$

We use Maple to check that each of the three polynomials is irreducible over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Therefore each of the three curves  $C_1, C_2, C_3$  defined by the three polynomials is an irreducible subvariety of R(M), on which the function  $f_{\mu_2}$  is constantly equal to 0. (Note that points in  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$ , with u = 0 are not contained in R(M)). But there are only finitely many such points. So strictly speaking, only an open dense subset of  $C_1, C_2, C_3$  are contained in R(M), which are irreducible quasi-affine curves. In other words,  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$  can be considered as irreducible curves in R(M) only up to birational isomorphisms. This subtlety will not affect the calculation of the A-polynomial 2-tuple.) Obviously  $f_{\mu_1}$  is not constant on each of the three curves. Using Maple, one can also easily check that  $f_{\lambda_1}$  is not constant on the first two curves  $C_1$  or  $C_2$ , but is constant on the third curve  $C_3$ , and that  $f_{\lambda_2}$  is constantly equal to zero on all the three curves. Hence we have actually  $q(R_1) = Y_1(M)$ , where  $R_1$  is the union of  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  (again this is defined only up to birational isomorphisms). It also follows that one of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  contains a point corresponding to a discrete faithful representation of  $\pi_1(M)$ .

To find  $A_1(u, v)$ , let L[1, 1] be the upper-left entry of the corresponding matrix for  $\lambda_1$  (calculated using Maple). Calculating the resultant of L[1, 1] - v with  $p_1(u, t)$ and  $p_2(u, t)$  respectively, we get the corresponding factors of the A-polynomial  $A_1(u, v)$  associated to the boundary component  $T_1$  of M:

$$\begin{split} a_1(u,v) &= -u^6 + (-3u^4 + 7u^6 + 3u^8)v + (-3u^2 + 14u^4 - 34u^6 + 96u^8 - 222u^{10} \\ &+ 224u^{12} - 136u^{14} + 49u^{16} - 10u^{18} + u^{20})v^2 + (-1 + 7u^2 - 39u^4 \\ &+ 168u^6 - 436u^8 + 638u^{10} - 502u^{12} + 308u^{14} - 157u^{16} + 64u^{18} \\ &- 17u^{20} + 2u^{22})v^3 + (-2u^2 + 17u^4 - 64u^6 + 157u^8 - 308u^{10} + 502u^{12} \\ &- 638u^{14} + 436u^{16} - 168u^{18} + 39u^{20} - 7u^{22} + u^{24})v^4 + (-u^4 + 10u^6 \\ &- 49u^8 + 136u^{10} - 224u^{12} + 222u^{14} - 96u^{16} + 34u^{18} - 14u^{20} + 3u^{22})v^5 \\ &+ (-3u^{16} - 7u^{18} + 3u^{20})v^6 + u^{18}v^7, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} a_2(u,v) &= u^3 + (3u^2 - 8u^3 + 29u^3 - 16u^{10} + 4u^{12})v + (3u^2 - 21u^2 + 95u^3 - 177u^3 \\ &+ 354u^{10} - 304u^{12} + 156u^{14} - 49u^{16} + 10u^{18} - u^{20})v^2 + (1 - 18u^2 \\ &+ 111u^4 - 370u^6 + 918u^8 - 1164u^{10} + 1442u^{12} - 1100u^{14} + 530u^{16} \\ &- 154u^{18} + 26u^{20} - 2u^{22})v^3 + (-5 + 55u^2 - 302u^4 + 971u^6 - 2019u^8 \\ &+ 3582u^{10} - 3604u^{12} + 3066u^{14} - 1807u^{16} + 704u^{18} - 166u^{20} + 21u^{22} \\ &- u^{24})v^4 + (10 - 102u^2 + 499u^4 - 1059u^6 + 3419u^8 - 4692u^{10} \\ &+ 5946u^{12} - 4676u^{14} + 3024u^{16} - 1398u^{18} + 417u^{20} - 70u^{22} + 5u^{24})v^5 \\ &+ (-10 + 113u^2 - 566u^4 + 1739u^6 - 3868u^8 + 6538u^{10} - 6968u^{12} \\ &+ 6538u^{14} - 3868u^{16} + 1739u^{18} - 566u^{20} + 113u^{22} - 10u^{24})v^6 \\ &+ (5 - 70u^2 + 417u^4 - 1398u^6 + 3024u^8 - 4676u^{10} + 5946u^{12} - 4692u^{14} \\ &+ 3419u^{16} - 1590u^{18} + 499u^{20} - 102u^{22} + 10u^{24})v^7 + (-1 + 21u^2 \\ &- 166u^4 + 704u^6 - 1807u^8 + 3066u^{10} - 3604u^{12} + 3582u^{14} - 2019u^{16} \\ &+ 971u^{18} - 302u^{20} + 55u^{22} - 5u^{24})v^8 + (-2u^2 + 26u^4 - 154u^6 + 530u^8 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &-1100u^{10}+1442u^{12}-1164u^{14}+918u^{16}-370u^{18}+111u^{20}\\ &-18u^{22}+u^{24})v^9+(-u^4+10u^6-49u^8+156u^{10}-304u^{12}+354u^{14}\\ &-177u^{16}+95u^{18}-21u^{20}+3u^{22})v^{10}+(4u^{12}-16u^{14}+29u^{16}-8u^{18}+3u^{20})v^{11}+u^{18}v^{12}. \end{split}$$

Corresponding to the curve  $C_3$ , we have the factor  $a_3(u, v) = v - 1$  of  $A_1(u, v)$ . So  $A_1(u, v) = a_1(u, v)a_2(u, v)a_3(u, v)$ .

A similar calculation shows that  $A_2(u, v)$  is equal to  $A_1(u, v)$  for this link.

The Newton polygons of  $a_1(u, v)$  and  $a_2(u, v)$  have the same set of boundary slopes, which are  $\mu_1^2 \lambda_1$ ,  $\mu_1^{-2} \lambda_1$  and  $\mu_1^7 \lambda_1$ . Each of the three corresponding boundary slopes on  $T_1$  bounds an essential surface in M disjoint from  $T_2$  by Theorem 3. (So both  $a_1(u, v)$  and  $a_2(u, v)$  provide norms on  $H_1(T_1, \mathbb{R})$ , while  $a_3(u, v)$  provides a semi-norm with  $\lambda_1$  as its associated slope.)

We can check using the Snappea program that the filling of M along  $T_1$  with each of the three boundary slopes yields a hyperbolic knot manifold. One can also prove directly that at least one of the fillings with slopes  $\mu_1^{-2}\lambda_1$  or  $\mu_1^7\lambda_1$  is hyperbolic, applying [6].

We shall only show that Dehn filling of M along  $T_1$  with the slope  $r = \mu_1^{-2}\lambda_1$ yields a hyperbolic knot manifold with non-integral traces; the same assertion for the other two slopes can be proved similarly. Note that any discrete faithful representation  $\rho$  of  $M((T_1, r))$  is contained, up to conjugacy, in  $C_1$  or  $C_2$ . For  $\rho(\mu_2)$ has to be a parabolic element and  $\rho(\lambda_1)$  has to be a hyperbolic element. Now from  $\rho(r) = I$ , we get an additional equation,  $v - u^2 = 0$ , for the eigenvalues u and v of  $\rho(x)$  and  $\rho(y)$  respectively. Together with the polynomial equation  $a_1(u, v) = 0$  or  $a_2(u, v) = 0$ , we see that the eigenvalue u of  $\rho(x)$  has to satisfy the equation

$$8u^{12} + 28u^{10} + 100u^8 + 111u^6 + 100u^4 + 28u^2 + 8 = 0$$

(if  $\rho$  is contained in  $C_1$ ) or the equation

$$\begin{split} 8u^{36} &- 52u^{34} + 300u^{32} - 977u^{30} + 2864u^{28} - 5714u^{26} + 10776u^{24} - 14879u^{22} \\ &+ 19844u^{20} - 20244u^{18} + 19844u^{16} - 14879u^{14} + 10776u^{12} - 5714u^{10} + 2864u^{8} \\ &- 977u^{6} + 300u^{4} - 52u^{2} + 8 = 0 \end{split}$$

(if  $\rho$  is contained in  $C_2$ ). Both equations are irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore u is not an algebraic unit, in either case. Thus  $tr(\rho(x)) = u + u^{-1}$  cannot be an algebraic integer. Hence  $\rho$  has non-integral traces. The proof of the theorem is now complete.

**Remark 6.** For any two bridge link in  $S^3$ , its A-polynomial 2-tuple is calculable with the method given in the proof of Theorem 5. So presumably one could construct an abundance of examples of hyperbolic knot manifolds with non-integral traces.

## Acknowledgment

Partially supported by NSF grant DMS 0204428.

#### References

- H. Bass, Finitely generated subgroups of GL<sub>2</sub>, in The Smith Conjecture, eds. John W. Morgan and Hyman Bass (Academic Press, 1984), pp. 127–136.
- [2] S. Boyer and X. Zhang, A proof of the finite filling conjecture, J. Differential Geom. 59 (2001) 87–176.
- [3] D. Cooper, M. Culler, H. Gillet, D. Long and P. Shalen, Plane curves associated to character varieties of 3-manifolds, *Invent. Math.* 118 (1994) 47–84.
- M. Culler and P. Shalen, Varieties of group representations and splittings of 3-manifolds, Ann. Math. 117 (1983) 109–146.
- [5] ——, Bounded, separating, incompressible surfaces in knot manifolds, *Invent. Math.* **75**(3) (1984) 537–545.
- [6] C. Gordon, Boundary slopes of punctured tori in 3-manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 350 (1998) 1713–1790.
- [7] C. Gordon and R. Litherland, Incompressible surfaces in branched coverings, in *The Simth Conjecture*, eds. J. Morgan and H. Bass (1984), pp. 139–151.
- [8] A. Hatcher, On the boundary curves of incompressible surfaces, *Pacific J. Math.* 99 (1982) 373–300.
- [9] S. Schanuel and X. Zhang, Detection of essential surfaces in 3-manifolds with SL<sub>2</sub>trees, Math. Ann. 320 (2001) 149–165.
- [10] W. Thurston, The geometry and topology of 3-manifolds, Lecture notes (Princeton University, 1977).
- [11] X. Zhang, The C-polynomial of a knot, preprint.